

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED TATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMSSIONER FOR PATENTS P.D. Bon 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 wvw.usglo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,660	07/02/2003	Karine Excoffier	SUN-P7528	7256	
75	90 01/12/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			LY, CHE	LY, CHEYNE D	
Third Floor Two North Mar	ket Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA 95113			2168		
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/613,660	EXCOFFIER ET AI	EXCOFFIER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Cheyne D. Ly	2168					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	iress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' y statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this cor  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1						
•—							
3) Since this application is in condition for a	ers, prosecution as to the	merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice ur	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application	t)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22,25,31-37 and 40</u> is/are reje	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22,25,31-37 and 40</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>23,24,26-30,38,39 and 41-45</u> is	☑ Claim(s) <u>23,24,26-30,38,39 and 41-45</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		natication No.					
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docu</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ul>		• •	Stane				
application from the International E		received in this readonal C	Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for		received.					
American (a)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	48) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	7				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Ir 6) ☐ Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO	-152)				
, apoi 110/5/11/aii bato	٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠, ٠						

Application/Control Number: 10/613,660

Art Unit: 2168

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-45 are examined on the merits.

## CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 U.S.C. § 112, SECOND PARAGRAPH

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 is vague and indefinite because it is not clear whether claim 1 is directed to a method or a product. Line recites a method followed by the structural description of a "directory server" in the preamble, while, the body of the claim recites steps a) and b) supporting the method of claim 1. However, the metes and bound of the claim is not clear because it is not clear whether claim 1 is directed to a method or a product. Claims 2-15 are rejected for being dependent from claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>e) the invention was described in:

<sup>(1)</sup> an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or

<sup>(2)</sup> a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,660

Art Unit: 2168

6. Claims 1-7, 10, 16-22, 25, 31-37, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)(2) as being anticipated by Boreham et al. (US006768988B2) (Boreham hereafter).

Page 3

- 7. The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.
- 8. In regard to claim 1, Boreham discloses a method of operating a directory server system, comprising a directory server (column 4, line 23) interacting with entries organized in a tree structure (column 2, lines 17-35), in which said entries comprise user entries (column 6, line 33, and Figure 19, line 1) and role entries (column 5, lines 38-39), ones of said role entries defining a role (column 5, lines 35-41) and having an associated scope in the tree (column 22, lines 3-29), the scope being defined from the location of said ones of said role entries in the tree (column 22, lines 3-29, column 17, line 38, to column 18, line 7, and Figures 12 and 13), according to a predefined rule (column 19, lines 25-26), with the role of an existing role entry being attached to a user entry subject to a first condition (column 6, lines 30-34), which comprises a role membership condition (column 6, lines 36-46) and the fact that the user entry belongs to the scope of the existing role entry (column 22, lines 3-29, and Figures 12 and 13), the method comprising:
  - a. Adding extra role data (column 6, lines 9-11) to the existing role entry (column 5, lines 46-48), the extra data identifying an extra scope in the tree for the existing

Application/Control Number: 10/613,660

role entry (column 21, line 65, to column 22, line 29). It is noted that the cited disclosure anticipates the limitation of "extra scope" as defined by the instant specification, page 23, lines 4-8.

- b. Attaching the role of the existing role entry to a user entry subject to a second condition (column 5, line 50, to column 2, line 6) comprising said role membership condition and the user entry belonging to the extra scope of the existing role entry (column 21, line 65, to column 22, line 29).
- 9. In regard to claim 2, the existing role entry (column 5, lines 46-48) is an indirect role entry designating one or more other roles (column 16, lines 15-67). It is noted the instant specification does not specifically define the limitation of "indirect role," therefore, the disclosure of "a nested role may contain one or more members" organized in a subtree structure has been interpreted to be consistent with the required limitation of "indirect role."
- 10. In regard to claim 3, the existing role entry (column 5, lines 46-48) has an attribute designating the said one or more other roles (column 16, lines 48-64).
- 11. In regard to claim 4, the role membership condition comprises the user entry having an attribute designating the role in the exiting role entry (column 6, lines 31-51).
- 12. In regard to claim 5, the existing role entry (column 5, lines 46-48) has a role filter condition (column 6, lines 31-51), and the role membership condition comprises one or more attributes of the user entry meeting the role filter condition (column 6, lines 34-47).
- 13. In regard to claim 6, the existing role entry (column 5, lines 46-48) has an attribute designating the role filter condition (column 6, lines 31-51).

Application/Control Number: 10/613,660 Page 5

Art Unit: 2168

14. In regard to claim 7, Boreham discloses adding the extra role data to the existing role entry (column 6, lines 9-11) in the form of an added attribute having a special attribute name and being associated with an attribute value identifying the extra scope (column 11, lines 41-55).

- 15. In regard to claim 10, the predefined rule (column 19, lines 25-26) comprises defining the scope of the exiting role entry as a subtree of parent of the existing role entry in the tree (column 21, line 65, to column 22, line 29).
- 16. Claims 16-22, 25, 31-37, and 40, correspond to a director server and a computer readable medium for performing the claimed method, thus said claims are rejected for the same reasons cited for claims 1-7 and 10.

#### CONCLUSION

- 17. The prior art made of record and not relied up is considered pertinent to applicant's discloser:
  - a. Barkley et al. discloses managing role/permission relationships using object access types wherein roles are organized in a hierarchical structure.
  - b. Abbey et al. discloses Ganymede as a directory server wherein roles are organized in a tree structure.
- 18. Claims 23, 24, 26-30, 38, 39, and 41-45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 19. Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact

the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

- 20. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199. The USPTO's official fax number is 571-272-8300.
- 21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Dune Ly, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.
- 22. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin, can be reached on (571) 272-4146. Mal

C. Dune Ly / C/Z
Patent Examiner

1/6/06